UNITED STATES DISTRICT TO MASSACHUSETTS 12:50

Bienvenido I. Lugo-Marchant

Plaintiff,

v.

Peter Tsickritzis, Kevin Kingston, and United Liquors Limited et al.,

Defendants

## ANSWER TO INTERROGATORIES

- 1. Objection. Oppressive, harassing and burdensome. This interrogatory seeks information already available.
- 2. Objection. This interrogatory seeks information this party intends to offer at trial.
- 3. Objection. Oppressive, harassing and burdensome. This interrogatory seeks information equally available to the propounding party.
- 4. At this time, no one.
- 5. Objection. Vague, ambiguous and unintelligible.
- 6. Objection. Asked and answered.
- 7. Some of the instruction was held out of state, other in MA.

  Some of the courses cover a greater period of time. Demand for disclosure is premature.
- 8. Objection. Overbroad and unduly burdensome in that no number is specified. This interrogatory covers a number of hypothetical individuals.
- 9. Objection. Oppressive, this interrogatory calls for a professional opinion from a lay person.
- 10. Objection. Oppressive, harassing and burdensome. This interrogatory seeks information equally available to the propounding party.
- 11. Objection. Oppressive, harassing and burdensome. This interrogatory seeks information already available to the propounding party.

- 12. Objection. Oppressive, harassing and burdensome. This interrogatory seeks information already available to the propounding party.
- 13. Objection. Oppressive, harassing and burdensome. This interrogatory seeks information equally available to the propounding party.
- 14. Objection. Oppressive, harassing and burdensome. This interrogatory seeks information equally available to the propounding party.
- 15. Objection. Oppressive, harassing and burdensome. This interrogatory seeks information equally available to the propounding party.

## DOCUMENTS REQUEST

- Objection. Asked and answered, already submitted to MCAD/eeoc.
- 2. Objection. Asked and answered, already submitted to MCAD/eeoc.
- 3. Objection. Asked and answered, already submitted to MCAD/eeoc.
- 4. Objection. Asked and answered, already submitted to MCAD/eeoc.
- Objection. Asked and answered, already submitted to MCAD/eeoc.
- Objection. Asked and answered, already submitted to MCAD/eeoc.
- 7. Objection. Asked and answered, already submitted to MCAD/eeoc.
- 8. Objection. Asked and answered, already submitted to MCAD/eeoc.
- 9. Objection. Asked and answered, already submitted to MCAD/eeoc.
- 10. Objection. Asked and answered, already submitted to MCAD/eeoc.
- 11. Objection. Asked and answered, already submitted to MCAD/eeoc.
- 12. Objection. Asked and answered, already submitted to MCAD/eeoc.

- 13. Objection. Asked and answered, already submitted to MCAD/eeoc.
- 14. Objection. Asked and answered, already submitted to MCAD/eeoc.
- 15. Objection. Irrelevant and burdensome.
- 16. Objection. Irrelevant and burdensome.
- 17. Objection. Vague, ambiguous and unintelligible.
- 18. Objection. Asked and answered.
- 19. Objection. Asked and answered
- 20. Objection. Oppressive and burdensome.
- 21. Objection. The employer has such records.
- 22. Objection. Oppressive and burdensome.
- 23. Objection. Asked and answered.
- 24. Objection. Oppressive and burdensome.
- 25. Objection. Premature and burdensome.
- 26. Objection. Asked and answered.
- 27. Objection. Irrelevant and burdensome.
- 28. Objection. Oppressive and burdensome.

This is answered to the best of my abilities and knowledge.

Bienvenido Lugo 19 Grove Street Brockton, MA 02301

Pro se , forma pauperis

March 9-2006